

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jonathan Rumford

**Date Submitted:** 10/22/2021 05:29 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I don't think there is any real need to expand the zoo and take up more natural land. Where is the the budget for this anyway?

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Sabrina Shelton  
**Date Submitted:** 10/22/2021 12:56 PM  
**Council File No:** 21-0828  
**Comments for Public Posting:** Please do not expand the LA zoo at the expense of the natural habitat of Griffith Park. Update the zoo within its current footprint

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Lulu  
**Date Submitted:** 10/22/2021 09:59 AM  
**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Ugh please don't expand the zoo. It's already a depressing enough place as is. Also Griffith park is such a great place to actually enjoy wildlife in nature, not in some confined cage. Please support Alternative 1 • Don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Cory Hoferlin

**Date Submitted:** 10/22/2021 10:09 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I urge you to reject the controversial LA Zoo expansion plan that will destroy 23 acres of nature in the heart of our city. We need to prioritize open spaces and indigenous flora and fauna in our city, not destroy it. Furthermore, an expanded zoo will increase traffic and pollution at the base of our most pristine and unique park, causing congestion and deterring locals from recreating. Los Angeles has plenty of large-scale tourist attractions already; preserve Los Angeles for Angelinos rather than destroying our heritage for tourist dollars.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jean Cafferty

**Date Submitted:** 10/22/2021 11:00 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please support Alternative 1 for the LA Zoo improvements. If the LA Times article is accurate, the full plan includes destroying wild areas in order to improve the zoo? How is that possibly a good idea? Supporting biodiversity by destroying it? I could not believe the article I was reading. Please support Alternative 1 • Don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented Sincerely, a reluctant activist in Tarzana.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Janiece Turnbull

**Date Submitted:** 10/22/2021 12:53 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Zoo Manager/s, Go back to the drawing board. Come up with creative ways to improve facilities without destroying more of a fragile ecosystem. There are designers out there developing solutions for operations problems within limited spaces without destroying the natural environment. Go vertical. Plant more vegetation. Use the animal poop. Support public transportation projects that will bring people into the park without their vehicles. No one cares about a rock climbing wall. Visitor centers are overrated. How about AI virtual guides? Leverage technology instead of building more physical structures. Explore ideas and solutions from around the globe. Broaden the range and expertise of your consultants. Seriously, you really can do better. Come up with a solution that will make your great grandkids famous.